

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/466,554	06/06/1995	PETER A. SEUBERT	15270-002120	3168
20350 7	590 09/29/2003	•		
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			DUFFY, PATRICIA ANN	
	SCO, CA 94111-3834		<u> </u>	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	2
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	//

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/466,554

Applicant(s)

Seubert et al

Examiner

Patricia A. Duffy

Art Unit 1645



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	or Reply				
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM				
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In n	o event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
mailing	date of this communication.  eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the				
- If NO p	to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
- Any rep	bly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of th				
earned Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 7, 200	3			
2a) 💢	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action	on is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	cion of Claims	•			
4) 💢	Claim(s) 42-48 and 50	is/are pending in the application.			
· 4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>42-48 and 50</u>	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr				
11)		is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	n <b>e</b> r.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) [	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.			
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No			
	application from the International Burea				
, *S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.			
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a) 🗆	The translation of the foreign language provisiona				
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachm					
	etice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	extice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
lnf (د	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Cther:			

Application/Control Number: 08/466,554

Art Unit: 1645

### **Continued Prosecution Application**

- The request filed on 7-7-03 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR
   1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/466,554 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.
- 2. The text of Title 35 of the U.S. Code not reiterated herein can be found in the previous office action.

### **Double Patenting**

3. The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. *In re Thorington,* 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); *In re Vogel,* 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); *In re Van Ornum,* 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Longi,* 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and *In re Goodman,* 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and © may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 42-48 and 50 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the all the allowed claims of now issued copending USSN 08/733,202 issued September 4, 2001 as US Patent No. 6,284,221. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 08/466,554

Art Unit: 1645

is obvious to screen for soluble  $A\beta(x-\ge41)$  in place of soluble  $A\beta$  because  $A\beta(x-\ge41)$  is a recognized species of soluble  $A\beta$  as evidenced by the art of record (Vigo-Pelfry et al).

Applicants have indicated that a terminal disclaimer will be filed. In the absence of a proper terminal disclaimer, this rejection is maintained and in view of the issuance of the Patent, its provisional status has been removed.

5. Claim 46 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention is maintained for reasons made of record.

Applicants amendments are insufficient to obviate this rejection as it applies to the genus of specific non-human transgenic animals for use in the assay. Applicants rely on copending applications of US application 08/143,697 (now US Patent to enable this embodiment and the copending applications are only enabled for transgenic rodents (see claim 6 therein). As such, applicants arguments are not commensurate in scope with the evidence presented therein.

## New Objection Based on Applicants Amendment to Claim 42

6. Claim 50 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim.

#### Status of Claims

7. Claims 42-48 and 50 are rejected.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 08/466,554

Art Unit: 1645

8. This is a CPA of applicant's earlier Application No. 08/466,554. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for Group 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia A. Duffy, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 305-7555. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached at (703) 308-3909.

Patricia A. Duffy, Ph.D. September 25, 2003

Art Unit: 1645

Patricia A. Ouffy, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Group 1600